

A Career in Payments: Past, Present & Future

CheckFree CPS – Payments Forum October 11, 2007 Today's Discussion:

- Personal Background
- The Evolution of Electronic Payments
- The Current State
- The Future
 - Account-to-Account
 - Business-to-Business



- Joined the New York Clearing House in August 1981 from Burroughs Corp (UNISYS)
- Technology and payments systems background
- Held numerous positions that included systems development, operations management, business development and overall management of payments systems
- Payment systems
 - Wire Transfer Clearing House Interbank Payments System (CHIPS)
 - Automated Clearing House (ACH) NYACH & Electronic Payments Network
 - Check Clearing Operations (NY, Chicago, San Francisco & LA)
 - Image Exchange SVPCO





Electronic Payments Systems The Past

Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) Circa 1981

- Electronic payments systems were unreliable
 - Computerized wire transfer was 11 years old
 - ACH was 7 years old
- Wire transfer systems were mainly terminal based fully electronic
 - Settlement for CHIPS was next day
 - Fedwire & CHIPS transferring around \$200 billion per day
- ACH EFT stood for Extra Fast Trucks
 - Items were distributed via paper and magnetic tape
 - Items were originated via magnetic tape
 - A handful of banks were using data transmission
 - Primary ACH applications were direct deposit and direct payment neither application had much traction



Electronic Funds Transfer (EFT) Circa 1981

- Checks were being cleared as they had been for decades
 - Very efficient
 - ECP was in its infancy pilot clearings with magnetic tape
- Technology begins to improve in the mid to late 80's
 - Mainframe computing is more reliable
 - Distributed processing using mini-computers
 - Telecommunications and modem improvements
 - Personal computers become of age in 1986
 - High speed dial-up comes on to the scene



- Wire transfer system becomes more automated with all large banks installing computer-to-computer interfaces
- NYACH embarks on a three year program to establish the first all-electronic ACH (No paper or magnetic tape)
 - PC software for receivers developed
 - Mandated all originators and receivers to have electronic connections project started in 1987 and completed in late 1989
 - FedACH become all-electronic in 1993
- Limited promotion of electronic payments direct deposit and direct payment begin in the 1990s acceptance for direct deposit less than 40%
- ECP gains wider use an all-electronic version created in 1992
- Image exchange pilot for returns conducted in 1992



- Wire transfer system focus in the 1990s was primarily on risk controls operational, credit and fraud
- ACH focus was on new ways to grow ACH volume business payments, cash concentration, customer initiated entries and in the late 1990s beginning to look at the check conversion applications (RCK, ARC and POP – RCK implemented in 1998)
 - In the last 10 years, NACHA focused on check conversion rather than native electronic payments
- Check focus continued primarily on ECP and the early stages of image exchange planning
- ECP's major flaw is the inability to carry payee information eliminating any possibility for check conversion paper or image must follow





Electronic Payments Systems The Present

- May 2007 annualized rate of image exchange/share is in excess of 8 billion items approximately 25% of total
 - 66% of these items are being received and processed as images
 - 2.6 billion being cleared as substitute checks
 - Approximately \$10 trillion
- 42% of U.S. financial institutions are receiving images
- Federal Reserve, SVPCO, Viewpoint and EndPoint are the major exchanges



- Each of the existing networks should double their volume in 2008
- Financial institutions that have not converted will continue to face higher paper clearing prices
- Check clearing volume will continue to decline due to debit cards, ACH check conversion and native electronic payments
- Vast majority of the paper will be long gone by 2010



- Wire transfer is the golden goose of wholesale banking
- Fed & Clearing House Wire Transfer Study 2006
 - Highlights the need for more automation
 - Structured remittance is critical for future growth
- Fed is not providing the proper leadership and is looking for any expedient method to implement without significant work on their part
- Some global banks want to do nothing
- Doing nothing will cause golden goose to dry up over time



Bill Payment

- Accounts Receivable Conversion (ARC)
 - 2004 1.25 billion
 - 2005 1.62 billion
 - 2006 2.1 billion
 - Continued growth expected in 2007 with new industries adopting (telecommunications, insurance)
 - Volume grows slowly, flattens in 2008
 - Volume to decline in 2009
 - o Payments will move to direct payment, Internet and home banking
 - o Many payments will migrate to credit/debit cards
 - Image Exchange will not replace ARC inferior solution



Point-of-Sale

- Merchants like image exchange model except for cost
 - No signage
 - No customer confusion
 - Lower incidence of fraud
- Back-Office Conversion (BOC) NACHA implemented March 2007
 - Sign at point-of-sale stating that check can be converted to an EFT
 - Merchant converts check in a back-office environment to an ACH debit
 - Very slow take-up
- Volume will ultimately move to cards over time
 - BOC could result in accelerating movement
- BOC peaks in 2008 & 2009



Business Check Conversion

- Best application for image exchange
- Corporate customers do not want their checks converted to ACH debits
- Business checks without aux-on-us field eligible for conversion
- Receiving banks working on marrying ACH & Check systems
- The longer image exchange takes the more inroads ACH will make



Native Electronic Payments Growth

- Business-to-business payments (3-4 billion)
- Business-to-consumer (7.3 billion)
 - direct deposit (salaries, annuities, dividends, expense reimbursement)
- Consumer-to-business bill payment (7 billion)
 - Internet banking
 - Direct payment
 - Company Web sites
- Account-to-account (4.4 billion)
 - Transfers between accounts
 - Consumer-to-consumer
- Cross-border (Research indicates market small)





Electronic Payments Systems The Future

Account-to-Account

- Consumers should be able to perform the following electronic transfers and payments from one platform using a credit push:
 - Transfer funds between accounts at the same institution
 - Transfer funds to your account at another institution
 - Transfer funds to stock and mutual fund accounts
 - Transfer funds to accounts of other family members within same financial institution or an external institution
 - Pay person to person
 - Pay any bill electronically
- Channel of choice Internet or Personal Banking, Mobile Banking, ATM & Telephone Banking



- Wire transfer real-time transfer with payment finality
 - Extensive reach but has high cost for origination and receipt
- EFT Network real-time transfer
 - Limited reach unless all switches participate
- ACH next day transfer
 - Ubiquitous

All methods should be available from **Personal Banking** platforms with pricing based on speed of delivery



Account Identifiers

- Transit Routing Number and Account Number
 - High risk for consumer or business to publicize
 - Can be used illegally for demand drafts, fraudulent checks and ACH debits
- EFT Personal Account Number (PAN)
 - Limited risk but could be used illegally as off-line debit
 - May require credit only version
 - No current ability to pay business customers
- Universal Payment Identification Code
 - Safe identifier can not be used for debit (electronic or paper)
 - Portable
 - Mobile phone number
 - Currently only available for business customers but can be rolled out to consumers
- Email Address
 - Safe identifier
 - Closed networks (PayPal)
 - Needs to be supported with proper banking identifiers
 - Portability issues



Limitations of Internet Banking



FDIC Insured



Payee Account ID is really Payer Account ID No location for actual Payee Account Information

A2A Solutions

- Financial institutions must
 - Modify initiation platforms to allow transfers using account identifiers
 - Home banking
 - Mobile Phones
 - Telephone banking
 - ATM
 - Use railroad that makes the most sense for the customer
 - EFT network
 - Wire transfer network
 - ACH network
 - Price for the service based on value received



Price Credit Transfer Based on Service Level

Transfer Mo Specify instruct	ney to	Your Accord	ounts Money Tra	nsfer request.						
Select Account		From Acco	From Account			To Account O Bank of America xxxx5734 O Community Credit Union xxxx2345 (i) Merrill Lynch xxxx1236				
		 Checking Account xxxx3333 Savings Account xxxx2345 Money Market Account xxxx4290 			000000000000000000000000000000000000000					
		Service Ty	ре	Daily Limit	1936 (GR		Delivery	Cut Off Time		
Select Service Type		C Standard \$2 © CNEXTERY \$2		\$25,000.00 \$2,000.00			3 Business Days Next Business Day	4 PM ET 4 PM ET		
Amount	Amount			\$ 2,000.00						
Your Monthly Limit Remaining		\$ 25,000	.00 (This L	imit is for transfo	erring mo	ney to	Accounts. Learn m	ore)		
		confirm	m	cancel						
	Service	ice Type Daily Lin		nit	Charge	harge Delivery		Cut Off Time		
Select Service Type	i Sta	ndard XTDAY	\$25,000.00 \$10.000.00		\$5. \$7	3 Business Days Next Business Day		4 PM ET 4 PM ET		
	💽 Mer	curyPay 🔏 🖓	\$10,000	.00	\$10	Rea	-Time	Anytime		



The Payment Platform of the Future

Wamu.com A Washington Mutual, Inc. Web site				
personal ban	king personal new account loans & customer service			
log off 下	View My Accounts Pay Bills Transfer Funds Account Services FAQ			
Pay Multiple Bills	Add a Pavee			
Pay a Bill	Add d F dycc			
Scheduled Payments	Payee Name:			
Payment History	Nickname:			
Payee List	Payee Account ID:			
Add a Payee	Verify Payee Account ID:			
Personal	Payee Address 1:			
Online Banking	Payee Address 2:			
your opinion	City:			
matters! 🜔	State: ZIP:			
	Payee Phone: ()			
	Payee Bank Routing Number: Step 1 of 3			
	Payee Bank Account Number: next reset			
	Payee Personal Account Number: FDIC Insured EQUAL HOUSING LENDER			

The Platform of the Future – Bill Payment



We encourage you to send payments electronically via Personal Banking Bill Payment:

ACME Products Inc. Bank Routing No. - 021052053 Bank Account No - 37830152 Your Account No - 147586342 (Please ensure that you include your account number)

Account Setup





Enrollment Information

Account Acces	s Bill Payment External Transfers Stock Quotes User Options Online Forms MyStatement Help Consumer Loans	
To set up your ac website below an NOTE: Please be responsible for a requested below.	count immediately, include your login information for the Bank of America online banking d click "Submit". sure to accurately enter account details below. As the user of this service you are ny erroneous transfers caused by incorrectly entering the third party account information	George F. Thomas 357350
Enroll this Bank	of America Bank Account for Funds Transfer	
Enter the name o	f the person who owns this account.	
First Name	Laurie	
.ast Name	Thomas	
Account Type	(Some account types, especially IRAs, 401(k)s and custodial accounts have special tax implications and restrictions. At this time, these accounts are not allowed for use with this service)	
ccount Number	9471872375 (From your Account Statement)	
le-enter Account Number	9471872375	
	Memo Image: Comparison of the second sec	
BA Routing / ransit Number	Number 021200339 I symbols on your check or deposit slip as shown above)	
	Submit Cancel Click here to learn more about Account Types.	

Terms of Service | Edit your Profile | Help



Successful Enrollment

USA Credit Union	Home Contact Us Rates Sign Off
Account Access Bill Payment External Transfers Stock Quotes User Options Online Forms MyStatement Help Consumer Loa	ns
Overview Transfer Funds History Add Accounts r External Accounts > Investment Accounts	George F. Thomas 357350
Add External Accounts	
Accounts Enabled for Funds Transfer	
Congratulations! The following accounts are now enabled for Funds Transfer. Click the "Transfer" button to nove money now.	
Bank of America Checking Account xxxxx2375	
Transfer Funds Add Another Account	
Terms of Service Security Policy Privacy Policy Copyright © 2003 CashEdge, Inc. All rights reserved,	



Transfer Request - One Time or Recurring

CORPORATIO

			///		
Ġ Back 🔹 🐑 👻 🞽] 📓 🏠 🔎 Search 🤺	Favorites 🧭 🔀 🕶 👹	🐨 🔪 🔛		
Address 🗃 https://www.usa	fcu-onlineusa.org/onlineserv/HB/Hom	eBanking.cgi			💌 🛃 Go 🛛 Links 🎽 📆
Google G-	🔽 Go 🚸 🍏 🌑 🛃 🔻	😭 Bookmarks 👻 🚳 610 block	æd 🛛 🍄 Check 👻 🚳 AutoLink	🗧 👻 AutoFill 🎍 Send to 👻 🥖	🔘 Settings 🗸
Account Access Bill Pa	Jnion yment External Transfers Slock Qu	otes User Options Online For	ms MyStatement Help Consu	Home Contact	<u>Us Rates Sign Off</u>
Overview T	ransfer Funds Histo	ry Add Accounts	5		George F. Thomas
Bank of America account	xxxxxx2375 added successfully				337330
Select Accounts					
Select the source and c	estination account for your t	ransaction			
From USA Credit Union, S	Share Savings, 357350	*	Add an External Account		
To Bank of America. C	heckina-######2375	*			
Select Payment Type					
• One Time Trans	fer				
ORecurring Trans	fer				
Submit Cancel					
	Terms of Service Edit	<u>your Profile</u> <u>Help</u>			
8					🔒 💣 Internet
		Concerned Actual View	There are the second a Tay	A Ulabarra ha UGA Gua	

Transfer Amount & Speed

USACI	aredit Union			
Account Acces	ss Bill Payment External Transfers Stock Quotes User Options Online	Forms MyState	nent Help Consumer Loa	ins
Overview	Transfer Funds History Add Accou	nts		George F. Thomas 357350
Transfer Funds	s			
Enter transfer o	details and click "Submit" to preview your transaction			
From I	USA Credit Union, Share Savings, 357350			
То І	Bank of America, Checking-######2375			
Enter Transfer /	Amount			
Amount	\$ 10.00 Your Monthly Limit Remaining is \$ 25,000.00 Learn More About Limits			
Select a Service	e			
Service f	Funds Delivery	Daily Limit	Cut Off Time	
 Standard Premium Scheduled For details on wh	3 Business Days Next Business Day Enter Send Date I1/06/2006 Funds will be delivered to the destination account 3 to 4 business days after send date. Then transferred funds will be available, <u>click here</u> .	\$15,000.00 \$2,000.00 \$15,000.00	10 PM PT 5 PM PT	
For details on fee	e amount, <u>click here.</u>			
Submit Can	icel			



Transfer Confirmation

USA Cred		Home Contact Us Rates Sign Off
Account Access Bil	Il Payment External Transfers Stock Quotes User Options Online Forms MyStatement Help Consumer Lo	ans
Overview	Transfer Funds History Add Accounts	George F. Thomas 357350
Transfer Funds		
Review and click "Co	nfirm" to schedule this transaction	
Request Date	11/03/2006	
From	USA Credit Union, Share Savings, 357350	
То	Bank of America, Checking-#####2375	
Amount	\$ 10.00	
Funds Delivered	3 Business Days Transfers scheduled for Next Business Day delivery will take an extra day as it is after the cut off time	
Fee	\$ 3.00 per transaction*	
	Confirm Back	
*This amount will be o receiving the transfer	deducted from the account from which you requested the transfer. If the account charges to receive ACH or Wire transfers, those charges would be additional.	
	Terms of Service Edit your Profile Help	



Transfer Detail Response

Account Access	Bill Payment External Transfers Stock Quotes User Options Online Forms MyStatement Help Consumer Loans	
Overview	Transfer Funds History Add Accounts	George F. Thomas 357350
Transfer Acknowl	edge	
The transfer below	has been scheduled based on your instructions.	
Reference Number	10553123 Click here for Printer friendly page	
Request Date	Fri, 11/03/2006	
From	USA Credit Union, Share Savings, 357350	
То	Bank of America, Checking-#####2375	
Amount	\$ 10.00	
Funds Delivered	3 Business Days	
Charge	\$ 3.00 per transaction*	
	Make Another Transfer Go to Overview	
	Terms of Service Edit your Profile Help	



Transfer Status

Accou	nt Access Bil	l Payment External	Transfers Stock Quo	tes User Options	Online Forms	MyStateme	nt Help Consum	er Loans		
Over	view	Transfer Fund	s Histor	y Add A	ccounts				^	
Your funds transfer request between USA Credit Union, Share Savings, 357350 account and Bank of America, Checking-######2375 account for \$10.00 has been scheduled.								George F. Thomas 357350		
Recent T	ransactions									
Ref.#	Request Date	From	То	Amount	Charge	Status	Action			
10553123	11/03/2006	USA Credit Unior Share Savings, 357350	n, Bank of Ameri Checking- ######2375	ca, \$10.00	\$3.00	<u>Pending</u>	Cancel			
Approve	d Accounts									
Financial	Institution		Account			Action				
USA Credit Union, Share Savings		re	357350							
USA Credit Union, Basic 3573599 Checking		3573599								
Washingto Checking	in Mutual Bar	nk, MMA	#####9199			<u>Remove</u>				
Third Pa	rty Account	s								
Account Owner Financial Institution Account				Account	Action					
Laurie Tho	mas	Bank of Am	nerica, Checking	#####2375		Ren	nove			



Email Confirmation

memberservice@usacuonline.org Sent: Fri 11/3/2006 1:33 PM From: George.Thomas To: Cc: Subject: Funds Transfer Request #10553123 Has Been Scheduled Hello George, We have received your funds transfer request: Item #: 10553123Amount: \$10.00 USA Credit Union, Share Savings ###### From: TO: We received your request on November 03, 2006, at 01:27PM EST. You should expect to see the funds in the destination account within 3 business days. The timing can vary, however, depending on the institution. If there is a problem with executing your request, we will notify you by email and on the Overview page. Sincerely, MEMBER SERVICES CORPORATIC source for your payments or

Mobile Phones using UPIC Architecture







Electronic Payments Systems The Future

Business-to-Business Payments

U.S. Payment Systems – Wire Transfer & ACH Require Automation Enhancements



What is needed for payment initiation?

- Bank systems require upgrades to provide more electronic payment automation
 - Automatic creation of electronic payments directly from accounts payable systems
 - Business customers ability to export from payables or key in structured remittance information
 - Elimination of faxed instructions to the bank for wire creation





What is needed for payment receipt?

- Business customer receives enough structured information to automatically post to accounts receivable
- Bank information delivery systems need to be upgraded to transmit automated remittance reports
- Banks must supply business beneficiary with all relevant data for automated posting in a standard format





The Standards

• ISO 20022

- International Standards Organization
- Universal Financial Industry message scheme (UNIFI)
- Extensible Mark-up Language (XML)
- UNIFI an effort to combine multiple XML standards
 - IFX
 - OAGI
 - SWIFT
 - TWIST
 - Rosetta Net



The Standards

• STP 820

- American National Standards Institute
- Streamlined version of ANSI X12.820
- Defines minimum number of data elements for automated posting and reconciliation
- Does not deviate from original standard
- Full compatibility with companies and banks using 820 standard
- Simplifies coding for banking software vendors



ISO 20022 – Remittance Information

<Strd>

<RfrdDocInf> <RfrdDocTp> <Cd>CINV</Cd> </RfrdDocTp> <RfrdDocNb>3920394930203</RfrdDocNb> </RfrdDocInf> <RfrdDocRltdDt>2003-01-23</RfrdDocRltdDt> <RfrdDocAmt> <DscntApIdAmt Ccy = "USD">2.00</DscntApIdAmt> </RfrdDocAmt> <RfrdDocAmt> <DuePybIAmt Ccy = "USD">40.01</DuePybIAmt> </RfrdDocAmt> <RfrdDocAmt> <RmtdAmt Ccy = "USD">30.01</RmtdAmt> </RfrdDocAmt> <CdtrRefInf> <CdtrRefTp> <Prtry>R7*3920394930203</Prtry> </CdtrRefTp> </CdtrRefInf> <AddtlRmtInf>ADX*-8*01*TD*USED CATALOG 199JAN2003\ </AddtlRmtInf>



</Strd>



RMR*IV*3920394930203**30.01*40.01*2\ REF*R7*3920394930203*SPOKE WITH MS. JONES \ DTM*003*20030123\ ADX*-8*01*TD*USED CATALOG 199JAN2003\





B2B Payments Opportunity

• Domestic

- The Federal Reserve Study (2001) 4+ billion payments
- New study this year
- Global
 - The Clearing House Study 85 million payments
 - Includes payments already being made by wire and bank proprietary systems
 - Based on 75% of U.S. major trading partners





ISO 20022 - Benefits

- Based on current technology
- Brings multiple standards together
- Global standard potential
- Currently being implemented in Europe
 - Key for implementing SEPA
 - No other viable alternative
 - Time frame for full implementation is extended





- Cost to the U.S. banking and business community to implement
 - No return on investment for payments system operators or financial institutions (over 15,000 FIs in the US)
 - Businesses of all sizes will need to implement it in their enterprise resource planning (ERP) or accounting systems
 - Cash management and accounting software providers will need to implement the complex standard into their accounting packages
 - Financial Institutions will need to develop remittance delivery capability using the new standard for their business customers
- 20 years before ISO 20022 is broadly adopted by US businesses
- The standard is unproven in any payments systems



- Speed to market large corporations are able to process the STP 820 in their ERP packages without any upgrades or changes
- Cash management software vendors are already implementing the STP 820 for their ACH offering
- Minor implementation effort for vendors for wires
- Banks already have EDI delivery platforms that can be used to deliver the STP 820 to their business customers today
- This is the first step to streamlining front-end applications for business customers
- Most corporations do not have XML in their five-year plan for payments



- U.S. Standard
- Some banks do not believe the wire transfer systems should be upgraded for B2B traffic
- Global banks only want to upgrade once



Corporate Expectations

- Wanted a structured remittance capability for electronic payments for years
- Do not want to wait 10-15 years
- AFP Payments Advisory Group sent a letter of support for STP 820
- AFP group opposes implementation of ISO 20022 in the near term



Association for Financial Professionals*



Solutions

- Implement STP 820 for ACH & wires now
- Ensure that formats can be mapped using ISO 20022
- Include 9000 characters of remittance for Fedwire
 - CHIPS has it
 - SWIFT MT103 Remit
- Additional remittance space allows:
 - Reduced expense by all parties to implement
 - ISO 20022 to be carried by ACH,SWIFT, CHIPS or Fedwire
 - Banks that want to use ISO can



- Industry needs to solidify the course of action
- Need bank commitment for implementation of origination and remittance delivery
- Work with the software vendors to implement the standard
- Operators or others may need to supply a white label remittance delivery capability centralized or stand-alone





Questions?